

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/712,611	11/13/2003	Theodore Bydalek	11-9540-6520-0000-2	9015
75	90 08/31/2006		EXAMINER	
Dana Andrew Alden			SHARP, JEFFREY ANDREW	
MacLean-Fogg Company 1000 Allanson Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Mundelein, IL 60060			3677	
			DATE MAILED: 08/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/712,611 Examiner	BYDALEK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		A-411-4				
		Art Unit				
	Jeffrey Sharp	3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 J	<u>une 2006</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 48-66 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 64-66 is/are withdrays 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 48-63 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 November 2005 and the Examiner.] accepted or b)∏ objected to l	by			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is of	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

[1] This action is responsive to Applicant's request for consideration filed on 14 June 2006 with regard to the final Official Office action mailed on 14 February 2006.

Status of Claims

[2] Claims 1-47 are cancelled and therefore, all previous rejections and arguments are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office Action. New apparatus claims 48-63 are pending.

Newly submitted claims 64-66 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: The claims recite a method, which differs from the apparatus invention previously examined.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, new claims 64-66 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Information Disclosure Statement

[3] Applicant's lengthy IDS filed 6/19/2006 has not yet been fully considered. All foreign and NPL documents have been considered. A brief review of the documents contained therein revealed that most of the documents are unrelated to the claims of this application. Therefore, the applicant is requested to identify twenty or so documents most relevant to the claims of this

Art Unit: 3677

application, so that they may be given proper consideration by the examiner. Though now simply a request, it may be applied under Rule 105 in the future.

Double Patenting

[4] Claims 62 and 63 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 58 and 59. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Drawings

[5] The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "annular bearing surface on the body is spherically convex" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. Support for this limitation is found in originally filed paragraph [0061]; however, the originally filed drawings fail to show this embodiment. The originally filed drawings only show a frustoconical annular bearing surface.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure

must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

New Grounds of Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- [6] The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- [7] Claim 60 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Element "e)" is missing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

[8] The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- [9] Claims 48, 49, 50, 52, 54, 55, and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by GB 2179416 A.

In short, GB 2179416 A teaches a fastener assembly (figure 1) comprising a body (5) being configured as a nut and having a torque transmitter (clearly shown in figure 2) and threads, and a cap (4) retained on the body (5), wherein the cap is held onto the body via an interference fit facilitated by at least one groove (@ 9 and 10). The body (5) has an annular bearing surface (extreme right) adjacent a generally cylindrical surface (2). The fastener assembly further includes a washer (3).

[10] Claims 48, 49, 50, 52, 53, 54, 56, 60 (as understood), and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by FR 633186 A.

In short, FR 633186 A teaches a fastener assembly comprising a body (a) being configured as a nut and having a torque transmitter (b) and threads, and a cap (d) retained on the body (a), wherein the cap is held onto the body via an interference fit facilitated by at least one groove (unlabeled annular indentation). The body (a) has an annular bearing surface (left figure 1) adjacent a generally cylindrical surface.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,611

Art Unit: 3677

[11] Claims 48, 49, 50, 52, 54, and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Becker US-4,143,578.

In short, Becker teaches a fastener assembly (10) comprising a body (12) being configured as a nut and having a torque transmitter (hexagonal profile) and threads (24), and a cap (14) retained on the body (12), wherein the cap is held onto the body via an interference fit facilitated by at least one groove (26). The body (12) has an annular bearing surface (18) adjacent a generally cylindrical surface (30).

[12] Claims 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 54, and 56, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Masuda et al. US-4,557,654 (figures 7 and 8).

In short, Masuda et al. teaches a fastener assembly comprising a body (figure 7) being configured as a nut and having a torque transmitter (profile) and threads, and a cap (24) retained on the body (figure 7), wherein the cap is held onto the body via an interference fit facilitated by at least one groove (22) comprising a plurality of notches (22). The body (figure 7) has an annular bearing surface (not labeled, above 27). The fastener assembly further includes a washer (27).

[13] Claims 48, 49, 50, 52, 54, 55, and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hobson et al. US-4,427,326.

In short, Hobson et al. teaches a fastener assembly (figure 2) comprising a body (20) being configured as a nut and having a torque transmitter (50) and threads, and a cap (30) retained on the body (20), wherein the cap is held onto the body via an interference fit facilitated

Art Unit: 3677

by at least one groove (24). The body (20) has an annular bearing surface (26) adjacent a generally cylindrical surface (25). The fastener assembly further includes a washer (40).

[14] Claims 48, 49, 50, 52, 54, 55, and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Liffick US-3,960,047.

In short, Liffick teaches a fastener assembly comprising a body (20) being configured as a nut and having a torque transmitter (hexagonal profile 22) and threads (34), and a cap (42) retained on the body (20), wherein the cap is held onto the body via an interference fit facilitated by at least one groove (48). The body (20) has an annular bearing surface (30) adjacent a generally cylindrical surface (@ 48).

[15] Claims 48, 49, 51, 52, 56, and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Espey et al. US-5,350,266.

In short, Espey et al. teaches a fastener assembly comprising a body (20) being configured as a nut and having a torque transmitter (hexagonal profile) and threads, and a cap (40) retained on the body (20), wherein the cap is held onto the body via an interference fit facilitated by at least one groove (33) comprising a plurality of notches (33). The body (20) has an annular bearing surface (28).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

[16] The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3677

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

[17] Claims 58-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Becker US-4,143,578 in view of any one of Crowther US-1,940,675 or Zorn et al. US-4,240,670 or GB 2051285 A.

In short, Becker teaches a fastener assembly (10) comprising a body (12) being configured as a nut and having a torque transmitter (hexagonal profile) and threads (24), and a cap (14) retained on the body (12), wherein the cap is held onto the body via an interference fit facilitated by at least one groove (26). The body (12) has an annular bearing surface (18) adjacent a generally cylindrical surface (30).

However, Becker fails to disclose expressly, the annular bearing surface (18) to be generally spherically convex.

Crowther suggests on page 2 lines 116-119, that an annular bearing surface for a nut is an obvious variant of a conical bearing surface in the art.

Zorn et al. suggest on column 3 lines 48-49, a generally spherically convex annular bearing surface (48). The generally spherical convex shape of the annular bearing surface causes the surrounding washer (52) to expand radially outwardly after torque is applied to the nut, and to facilitate frictional engagement between the washer and its mating workpiece (12').

GB 2051285 A suggests a generally spherically convex annular bearing surface (16) for self-centering purposes.

Therefore, at the time of invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the annular bearing surface (18) taught by Becker, to be generally spherically convex as suggested by Zorn et al., in order to cause radially outward expansion of a surrounding washer. It would have also been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the annular bearing surface (18) taught by Becker, for a generally spherically convex annular bearing surface, since Crowther deems the two to be art-recognized equivalents. Lastly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the annular bearing surface (18) taught by Becker, to be generally spherically convex as suggested by GB 2051285 A, in order to improve the self-centering function of the fastener assembly, especially when in engagement with a washer.

Conclusion

- [18] The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is as follows: See form PTO-892.
- [19] Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Sharp whose telephone number is (571) 272-7074. The examiner can normally be reached 7:00 am 5:30 pm Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J.J. Swann can be reached on (571) 272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,611 Page 10

Art Unit: 3677

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAS

HOBERT J. SANDY